

GENERALIZED HYERS-ULAM STABILITY OF FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

YOUNG HAK KWON*, HO MIN LEE*, JEONG SOO SIM*, JEHA
YANG* AND CHOONKIL PARK**

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the following linear functional equations

$$f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(y + ix) + f(y - ix) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

and $f((1 + i)x) = (1 + i)f(x)$, and of the following quadratic functional equations

$$f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(y + ix) + f(y - ix) = 0$$

and $f((1 + i)x) = 2if(x)$ in complex Banach spaces.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The stability problem of functional equations originated from a question of Ulam [31] concerning the stability of group homomorphisms: Let $(G_1, *)$ be a group and let (G_2, \diamond, d) be a metric group with the metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\epsilon > 0$, does there exist a $\delta(\epsilon) > 0$ such that if a mapping $h : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ satisfies the inequality

$$d(h(x * y), h(x) \diamond h(y)) < \delta$$

for all $x, y \in G_1$, then there is a homomorphism $H : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ with

$$d(h(x), H(x)) < \epsilon$$

for all $x \in G_1$? If the answer is affirmative, we would say that the equation of homomorphism $H(x * y) = H(x) \diamond H(y)$ is stable. The concept of stability for a functional equation arises when we replace the functional equation by an inequality which acts as a perturbation of the equation. Thus the stability question of functional equations is that how

Received September 17, 2007.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 39B72, 39B32.

Key words and phrases: linear functional equation, quadratic functional equation, generalized Hyers-Ulam stability.

This work was supported by the R & E program of KOSEF in 2007.

do the solutions of the inequality differ from those of the given functional equation?

Hyers [5] gave a first affirmative partial answer to the question of Ulam for Banach spaces. Let X and Y be Banach spaces. Assume that $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies

$$\|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some $\varepsilon \geq 0$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $T : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$\|f(x) - T(x)\| \leq \varepsilon$$

for all $x \in X$.

Th.M. Rassias [21] provided a generalization of Hyers' Theorem which allows the *Cauchy difference to be unbounded*.

THEOREM 1.1. (Th.M. Rassias). *Let $f : E \rightarrow E'$ be a mapping from a normed vector space E into a Banach space E' subject to the inequality*

$$(1.1) \quad \|f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \epsilon(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in E$, where ϵ and p are constants with $\epsilon > 0$ and $p < 1$. Then the limit

$$L(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(2^n x)}{2^n}$$

exists for all $x \in E$ and $L : E \rightarrow E'$ is the unique additive mapping which satisfies

$$\|f(x) - L(x)\| \leq \frac{2\epsilon}{2 - 2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in E$. Also, if for each $x \in E$ the function $f(tx)$ is continuous in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then L is \mathbb{R} -linear.

The above inequality (1.1) that was introduced for the first time by Th.M. Rassias [21] for the proof of the stability of the linear mapping between Banach spaces has provided a lot of influence in the development of what is now known as a *generalized Hyers-Ulam stability* or as *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability* of functional equations. Beginning around the year 1980 the topic of approximate homomorphisms, or the stability of the equation of homomorphism, was studied by a number of mathematicians. Găvruta [4] extended the Hyers-Ulam stability by proving the following theorem in the spirit of Th.M. Rassias' approach.

A square norm on an inner product space satisfies the important parallelogram equality

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2\|x\|^2 + 2\|y\|^2.$$

The functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

is called a *quadratic functional equation*. In particular, every solution of the quadratic functional equation is said to be a *quadratic function*. A generalized Hyers–Ulam stability problem for the quadratic functional equation was proved by Skof [30] for mappings $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X is a normed space and Y is a Banach space. Cholewa [2] noticed that the theorem of Skof is still true if the relevant domain X is replaced by an Abelian group. Czerwik [3] proved the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation. The stability problems of several functional equations have been extensively investigated by a number of authors and there are many interesting results concerning this problem (see [1], [8]–[20], [23]–[29]).

In this paper, we prove the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the following linear functional equations

$$(1.2) \quad f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(y + ix) + f(y - ix) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

and $f((1 + i)x) = (1 + i)f(x)$, whose solution is called an *additive mapping*, and the generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of the following quadratic functional equations

$$(1.3) \quad f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(y + ix) + f(y - ix) = 0$$

and $f((1 + i)x) = 2if(x)$, whose solution is called a *quadratic mapping*.

Throughout this paper, assume that X is a complex normed vector space with norm $\|\cdot\|$ and that Y is a complex Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

2. Generalized Hyers–Ulam stability of linear functional equations

For a given mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we define

$$Cf(x, y) := f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(y + ix) + f(y - ix) - 2f(x) - 2f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the linear functional equation

$$f(x + y) = f(x) + f(y)$$

and $f(ix) = if(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then

$$f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(y + ix) + f(y - ix) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In fact, $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f(x) = x$ satisfies (1.2).

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the linear functional equation $Cf(x, y) = 0$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $p < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f((1+i)x) = (1+i)f(x)$ and*

$$(2.1) \quad \|Cf(x, y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\theta}{2-2^p}\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Since $f((1+i)x) = (1+i)f(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $f(0) = 0$ and $f(2x) = (1+i)f((1-i)x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Letting $y = x$ in (2.1), we get

$$\|2f((1+i)x) + 2f((1-i)x) - 4f(x)\| \leq 2\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\|(1-i)f(2x) - (2-2i)f(x)\| \leq 2\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$(2.3) \quad \|f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{\sqrt{2}}\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(2.4) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2^l}f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m}f(2^m x) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{pj}\theta}{2^j\sqrt{2}}\|x\|^p$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.4) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n}f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (2.1),

$$\|CA(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|Cf(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{pn}\theta}{2^n} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CA(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.4), we get (2.2).

Now, let $L : X \rightarrow Y$ be another additive mapping satisfying (1.2) and (2.2). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x) - L(x)\| &= \frac{1}{2^n} \|A(2^n x) - L(2^n x)\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^n} (\|A(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\| + \|L(2^n x) - f(2^n x)\|) \\ &\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}\theta}{2 - 2^p} \cdot \frac{2^{pn}}{2^n} \|x\|^p, \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in X$. So we can conclude that $A(x) = L(x)$ for all $x \in X$. This proves the uniqueness of A . So there exists a unique quadratic mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.2) and (2.2). \square

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $p > 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.1) and $f((i + i)x) = (1 + i)f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\theta}{2^p - 2} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.3) that

$$\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2}\theta}{2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(2.6) \quad \|2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{j+\frac{1}{2}}\theta}{2^{pj+p}} \|x\|^p$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.6) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (2.1),

$$\|CA(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \|Cf(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n})\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n \theta}{2^{pn}} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CA(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.6), we get (2.5).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $p < \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f((1+i)x) = (1+i)f(x)$ and*

$$(2.7) \quad \|Cf(x, y)\| \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^p$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(2.8) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{(2-4^p)\sqrt{2}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = x$ in (2.7), we get

$$\|2f((1+i)x) + 2f((1-i)x) - 4f(x)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\|(1-i)f(2x) - (2-2i)f(x)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$(2.9) \quad \|f(x) - \frac{1}{2}f(2x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{2\sqrt{2}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(2.10) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{2^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{2^m} f(2^m x) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{4^{pj} \theta}{2^{j+1} \sqrt{2}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.10) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (2.7),

$$\|CA(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \|Cf(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^{pn}\theta}{2^n} \cdot \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^p = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CA(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.10), we get (2.8).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

THEOREM 2.4. *Let $p > \frac{1}{2}$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (2.7) and $f((1+i)x) = (1+i)f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique additive mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(2.11) \quad \|f(x) - A(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{(4^p - 2)\sqrt{2}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (2.9) that

$$\|f(x) - 2f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{4^p\sqrt{2}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(2.12) \quad \|2^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 2^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right)\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^j\theta}{4^{pj+p}\sqrt{2}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (2.12) that the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{2^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $A : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$A(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (2.7),

$$\|CA(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^n \|Cf\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^n\theta}{4^{pn}} \cdot \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^p = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CA(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get (2.11).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

3. Generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of quadratic functional equations

For a given mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$, we define

$$Cf(x, y) := f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(y + ix) + f(y - ix)$$

for all $x, y \in X$.

If a mapping $f : X \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the quadratic functional equation

$$f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

and $f(ix) = -f(x)$ for all $x, y \in X$, then

$$f(x + iy) + f(x - iy) + f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. In fact, $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $f(x) = x^2$ satisfies (1.3).

We prove the generalized Hyers-Ulam stability of the quadratic functional equation $Cf(x, y) = 0$.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $p < 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f((1+i)x) = 2if(x)$ and*

$$(3.1) \quad \|Cf(x, y)\| \leq \theta(\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.2) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{4-2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Since $f((1+i)x) = 2if(x)$ for all $x \in X$, $f(0) = 0$ and $f(2x) = 2if((1-i)x)$ for all $x \in X$.

Letting $y = x$ in (3.1), we get

$$\|2f((1+i)x) + 2f((1-i)x)\| \leq 2\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\|-if(2x) + 4if(x)\| \leq 2\theta\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$(3.3) \quad \|f(x) - \frac{1}{4}f(2x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{2}\|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(3.4) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{4^m} f(2^m x) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2^{pj}\theta}{2 \cdot 4^j} \|x\|^p$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.4) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n}f(2^n x)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n}f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (3.1),

$$\|CQ(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|Cf(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2^{pn}\theta}{4^n} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CQ(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.4), we get (3.2).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. □

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $p > 2$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (3.1) and $f((i + i)x) = 2if(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(3.5) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2^p - 4} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (3.3) that

$$\|f(x) - 4f(\frac{x}{2})\| \leq \frac{2\theta}{2^p} \|x\|^p$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \|4^l f(\frac{x}{2^l}) - 4^m f(\frac{x}{2^m})\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{2 \cdot 4^j \theta}{2^{pj+p}} \|x\|^p$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.6) that the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (3.1),

$$\|CQ(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \|Cf(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n})\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{2^{pn}} (\|x\|^p + \|y\|^p) = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CQ(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.6), we get (3.5).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

THEOREM 3.3. *Let $p < 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying $f((1+i)x) = 2if(x)$ and*

$$(3.7) \quad \|Cf(x, y)\| \leq \theta \cdot \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^p$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$(3.8) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{4-4^p} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. Letting $y = x$ in (3.7), we get

$$\|2f((1+i)x) + 2f((1-i)x)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$\|-if(2x) + 4if(x)\| \leq \theta \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. So

$$(3.9) \quad \|f(x) - \frac{1}{4}f(2x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{4} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(3.10) \quad \left\| \frac{1}{4^l} f(2^l x) - \frac{1}{4^m} f(2^m x) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{4^{pj} \theta}{4^{j+1}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.10) that the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{\frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} f(2^n x)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (3.7),

$$\|CQ(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{4^n} \|Cf(2^n x, 2^n y)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^{pn} \theta}{4^n} \cdot \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^p = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CQ(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.10), we get (3.8).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $p > 1$ and θ be positive real numbers, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a mapping satisfying (3.7) and $f((1+i)x) = 2if(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Then there exists a unique quadratic mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ such that*

$$(3.11) \quad \|f(x) - Q(x)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{4^p - 4} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$.

Proof. It follows from (3.9) that

$$\|f(x) - 4f\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\| \leq \frac{\theta}{4^p} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all $x \in X$. Hence

$$(3.12) \quad \left\| 4^l f\left(\frac{x}{2^l}\right) - 4^m f\left(\frac{x}{2^m}\right) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=l}^{m-1} \frac{4^j \theta}{4^{pj+p}} \|x\|^{2p}$$

for all nonnegative integers m and l with $m > l$ and all $x \in X$. It follows from (3.12) that the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ is Cauchy for all $x \in X$. Since Y is complete, the sequence $\{4^n f(\frac{x}{2^n})\}$ converges. So one can define the mapping $Q : X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$Q(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n f\left(\frac{x}{2^n}\right)$$

for all $x \in X$.

By (3.7),

$$\|CQ(x, y)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 4^n \|Cf\left(\frac{x}{2^n}, \frac{y}{2^n}\right)\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{4^n \theta}{4^{pn}} \cdot \|x\|^p \cdot \|y\|^p = 0$$

for all $x, y \in X$. So $CQ(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, letting $l = 0$ and passing the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.12), we get (3.11).

The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

References

- [1] I. Chang and Y. Jung, *Stability of a functional equation deriving from cubic and quadratic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **283** (2003), 491–500.
- [2] P. W. Cholewa, *Remarks on the stability of functional equations*, Aequationes Math. **27** (1984), 76–86.
- [3] S. Czerwik, *On the stability of the quadratic mapping in normed spaces*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **62** (1992), 59–64.
- [4] P. Găvruta, *A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **184** (1994), 431–436.
- [5] D. H. Hyers, *On the stability of the linear functional equation*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **27** (1941), 222–224.

- [6] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Topics in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Singapore, New Jersey, London, 1997.
- [7] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th.M. Rassias, *Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
- [8] F. H. Hyers and Th.M. Rassias, *Approximate homomorphisms*, Aequationes Math. **44** (1992), 125–153.
- [9] S.-M. Jung, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Mathematical Analysis*, Hadronic Press, Palm Harbor, Florida, 2001.
- [10] C. Park, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach modules*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **275** (2002), 711–720.
- [11] C. Park, *On an approximate automorphism on a C^* -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **132** (2004), 1739–1745.
- [12] C. Park, *Lie $*$ -homomorphisms between Lie C^* -algebras and Lie $*$ -derivations on Lie C^* -algebras*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **293** (2004), 419–434.
- [13] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Lie JC^* -algebras and Cauchy-Rassias stability of Lie JC^* -algebra derivations*, J. Lie Theory **15** (2005), 393–414.
- [14] C. Park, *Homomorphisms between Poisson JC^* -algebras*, Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. **36** (2005), 79–97.
- [15] C. Park, *Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of a generalized Euler-Lagrange type additive mapping and isomorphisms between C^* -algebras*, Bull. Belgian Math. Soc.-Simon Stevin **13** (2006), 619–631.
- [16] C. Park, *Fixed points and Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of Cauchy-Jensen functional equations in Banach algebras*, Fixed Point Theory and Applications **2007**, Art. ID 50175 (2007).
- [17] C. Park and J. Cui, *Generalized stability of C^* -ternary quadratic mappings*, Abstract Appl. Anal. **2007**, Art. ID 23282 (2007).
- [18] C. Park and J. Hou, *Homomorphisms between C^* -algebras associated with the Trif functional equation and linear derivations on C^* -algebras*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **41** (2004), 461–477.
- [19] C. Park and A. Najati, *Homomorphisms and derivations in C^* -algebras*, Abstract Appl. Anal. **2007**, Art. ID 80630 (2007).
- [20] C. Park and Th.M. Rassias, *On a generalized Trif's mapping in Banach modules over a C^* -algebra*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **43** (2006), 323–356.
- [21] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1978), 297–300.
- [22] Th. M. Rassias, *On modified Hyers-Ulam sequence*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **158** (1991), 106–113.
- [23] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of the quadratic functional equation and its applications*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai **XLIII** (1998), 89–124.
- [24] Th. M. Rassias, *The problem of S.M. Ulam for approximately multiplicative mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **246** (2000), 352–378.
- [25] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations in Banach spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **251** (2000), 264–284.
- [26] Th. M. Rassias, *On the stability of functional equations and a problem of Ulam*, Acta Appl. Math. **62** (2000), 23–130.
- [27] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the behavior of mappings which do not satisfy Hyers-Ulam stability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1992), 989–993.

- [28] Th. M. Rassias and P. Šemrl, *On the Hyers-Ulam stability of linear mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **173** (1993), 325–338.
- [29] Th. M. Rassias and K. Shibata, *Variational problem of some quadratic functionals in complex analysis*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **228** (1998), 234–253.
- [30] F. Skof, *Proprietà locali e approssimazione di operatori*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Fis. Milano **53** (1983), 113–129.
- [31] S. M. Ulam, *Problems in Modern Mathematics*, Wiley, New York, 1960.

*

Seoul Science High School
Seoul 110–530, Republic of Korea
E-mail: `sshs1991@hanmail.net`

**

Department of Mathematics
Hanyang University
Seoul 133–791, Republic of Korea
E-mail: `baak@hanyang.ac.kr`